

REMARKS

Claims 2, 3, 5, 8-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2, 6-9 and 11, as best understood, were rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arjomand (US 2005/0081891) in view of Sung Sham (US 4,429,434).

Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arjomand, as modified by Sung Sham, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Fortune (US 3,818, 539).


In response, Applicant has cancelled previous claims and has introduced new claims to further capture limitations of the present invention. Such limitations are: the description of a plunging means which has a first tube means in communication with said plunging means, and a second tube means positioned on said first tube means, adjacent to where said first tube means is in communication with said plunging means.

Applicant respectfully submits that the new limitations within the claims are sufficient to overcome the rejection.

Any and all extensions of time are hereby requested.

Please charge any and all fees due and owing to deposit account No. 500356 in the name of A+ Legal Services- Greenberg and Lieberman LLC.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312